

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,943		02/09/2001	Timothy A.M. Chuter	ENDOV-56584(E0025) 1704	
24201	7590	08/27/2003			
		ON LEE & UTEC	EXAMINER		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6060 CENTER DRIVE				ISABELLA, DAVID J	
TENTH FLO	H FLOOR NGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGLI	LLS, CA	70043		3738	
				DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Λ.Κ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/780,943	CHUTER, TIMOTHY A.M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DAVID J ISABELLA	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	ince except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-42 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8,15,17-26 and 28-42</u>	is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,9-14,16,27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.	·
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/780,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2,4,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is inaccurate and therefore indefinite. The claim defines at least two extension components wherein each of which sealingly engage with one of the four apertures. It appears from the disclosure that one extension component is design to sealingly engage with a corresponding aperture.

Claim 2, there is no structural nexus between the catheter and the main component. The catheter fails to set forth any structure for receiving the main component.

Claim 4, there is nexus integrating the releasing structure and the main delivery catheter.

Claim 14, "the inside" would be better supported by reciting that the cylindrical support is hollow defining an inner surface.

Art Unit: 3738

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5,16 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Wisselink (5984955).

Wisselink discloses a system for grafting branched vessels including a main component having at least four apertures and at least two extensions configured to sealingly engage with a corresponding aperture.

Claims 2,3,4,5,16 and 27, see column 11, lines 10+.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7,9,10,11,12,13,14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wisselink as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Piplani, et al.

i i

Application/Control Number: 09/780,943 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Wisselink uses generically defined anchoring devices 18 and 20. Piplani, et al teaches that the anchoring device as claimed is known in the art and does not form the basis of the invention. To use the anchoring device as shown in Piplani, et al as a specific device for the generically defined devices of Wisselink would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art based upon surgical considerations.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The addition of "a support structure attached to the midsection" fails to distinguish over the ring 48 or the anchoring devices 20 of Wisselink. Inherently, the ring 48 would provide "support" as broadly claimed. Moreover, the addition of an anchoring device is well known in the art as taught by Piplani, et al.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/780,943

Art Unit: 3738

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David J. Isabella whose telephone number is

703.308.3060. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on Monday-Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703.308.0858.

dji

August 22, 2003

David J. Isabella

Page 5

Primary Examiner